

# 'Etching/deposition' and molecular growth in discharge plasmas

Discharge dynamic / plasma chemistry / plasma surface interaction

LIMHP group (HC discharges : particle formation and film deposition) F. Silva<sup>1</sup>, G. Lombardi<sup>1</sup>, F. Mohasseb, A. Michau<sup>1</sup>, X. Bonnin<sup>1</sup>, X. Duten, F. Benedic, K. Hassouni, J. Achard and A. Gicquel<sup>1</sup>

PIIM group (carbon particle formation )

C. Arnas

PICM group (Si-H discharge and Si-H particles formation) H. Vach and N. Ning



### Outline

Objective : to illustrate some of the approaches used to investigate plasma surface interaction, molecular growth and particle formation in plasma process
 → Plasma physisist / Data user point of view

Neutral plasma species implication in :

 surface process : the case of diamond deposition
 molecular growth : the example of soot formation in HC discharges

•Sputtering and negative ions implication in :

- > Particle generation and dusty plasma formation
- The case of graphite cathode DC sputtering

Negative ions and molecular growth in plasma
 → Hydrogen and SiH<sub>4</sub> dusty plasmas



Laboratory discharge plasmas The chemical species involved



Some orders of magnitude : \*  $n_e = 10^8 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3} (<10^{-2} \text{ and more often } <10^{-5})$ \*  $<\varepsilon_e > = 1 \cdot 10 \text{ eV}$ \*  $T_g = 300 \cdot 6000 \text{ K}$ \*  $(T_v) = 1000 \cdot 5000 \text{ K} \text{ (molecular gases)}$ 



## Plasma/surface interaction : The context



plasma/surface Interaction Energy and mass transfer







## **Plasma/surface interaction : Gas phase generated species = neutral**



Gas phase generated neutral (atoms and radicals) : In the bulk :

#### **Birth**:

Electron-impact dissociation on the parent molecules

Energy threshold : 4-10 eV

**Transport** : free diffusion  $\rightarrow$  low drift energy, thermal species  $\rightarrow$  isotropic fluxes **Fate on the surface**  $\rightarrow$  *soft* chemistry : adsorption, recombination (L-H, R), chemisorption, etching  $(A_{\sigma} + B_{s} \rightarrow C_{\sigma})$ 

### In the sheath:

**Birth :** charge transfer : « hot atoms , neutrals » Resonant process :  $Ar_{fast}^+ + Ar \rightarrow Ar_{hot}^+ + Ar_{slow}^+$ **Transport** : free diffusion, high energy neutral =  $10-10^3$  eV **Fate** : surface soft chemistry : adsorption, recombination (R), chemisorption, etching, and **also** sputtering





Neutral species driven Plasma-surface interaction The example of diamond deposition



- v Bell Jar Reactor type
  - 2-6 kW
  - P=25-200 mbar

### v Deposition parameters

• %CH <sub>4</sub>	: 0.25 - 16 %
• Ts	: 400 - 1000°C
• dP <sub>MW</sub>	: 9 - 30 W/cm <sup>3</sup>
• †	$^{\prime}$ 0.5 = 600 h

## Sheath

few tens of microns totally collisional for ions very small potential drop (floating) →very low energy ions →Low ion flux (vs atom and radicals)



### **PACVD of diamond principle**





<u>Diamond growth ; purely neutral mechanism</u> <u>Key-role of H-atom adsorption ⇔ recombination equilibrium</u>

### **Rideal mechanism**



Langmuir-Hinshelwood





<u>Adsorption-Recombination assisted growth of diamond</u> <u>CH<sub>3</sub> as growth precursor (Goodwin model)</u>

From P. Zapol,a L. A. Curtiss,a H. Tamura,b and M. S. Gordon Computational Materials Chemistry: Methods and Applications, 266–307.



Plasma physisist : How much CH<sub>3</sub> stick to my surface ? (what are my boundary conditions ?)

Surface scientist answer : It depends on the H-atom flux your plasma delivers From : W. Jacob, C. Hopf, M. Meier, and T. Schwarz-Selinger in Springer Series in Chemical Physics (2005)Volume 78



H-atom  $\rightarrow$  growth rate

- ==> Neutral-neutral synergetic effect
- ==> non linear surface chemistry



$$Cd - H + H \longrightarrow Cd^* + H_2$$

 $Cd^* + H \longrightarrow Cd - H$ 

$Cd^* + CH_3$	$\xrightarrow{k \ 3} \longleftrightarrow$	$Cd - CH_3$
5	` <i>k</i> 4	5

$$Cd - CH_3 + H \xrightarrow{k5} Cd - CH_2^* + H_2$$

 $Cd - CH_2^* + H \xrightarrow{k \, 6} Cd - Cd - H$ 

H-atom is a key-species



### Surface concentrations



Diamond density



### Growth rate derived from Goodwin model Growth is function of H-tom flux





<u>Diamond growth – mesoscale – what are the observable growth</u> <u>mechanism Nucleation growth</u>

• High flux of the precursor species





### <u>Diamond growth – mesoscale</u> Secondary Nucleation and twining Growth



F. Silva et al. Diam Rel. Mater.



- selective secondary nucleation :
  - Stable (100) faces
  - unstable (111) faces

- «isotropic» secondary nucleation :
  - unstable (100) faces
  - Unstable (111) faces







<u>Diamond growth – mesoscale - How to interpret our observation</u> <u>Step flow growth</u>

 Low flux of the precursor species Diffusion length > terrasses length : <u>Step Flow Growth</u>





## **Microstructures control**





For Diamond deposition , Plasma = generator of H & «  $CH_3$ »

In principle : plasma surface interaction governed by :  $F_H|_{s,} F_{CH3}|_s$  and  $T_s$ 

 $F_{H}$ : non-equilibrium  $\Leftrightarrow$  flexibility  $\Leftrightarrow$  possibility to monitor with process parameter





## From H<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>/Ar/CH<sub>4</sub> From PCD to NCD

**Redish soot particles** 





Implication on the growth ? and change in the film microstructure ?



**Modeling objective** : estimate the gas and electron temperatures, species densities, particle size distribution (or its moments) in the uniform plasma bulk





# 2C-model (1)

Based on the kinetic models developed for  $H_2/CH_4$  discharges<sup>(1,2)</sup>:

- 38 species (with e<sup>-</sup>)
  - Neutral and charged hydrogen compounds:
    - $H_2$ , H, H(n=2), H(n=3), H<sup>+</sup>,  $H_2^+$  and  $H_3^+$
  - Hydrocarbon molecules up to 2 C-atoms and their corresponding ions:
    - $C_{x}H_{y}$  (x = 1-2, y = 0-6), <sup>1</sup>CH<sub>2</sub>, C<sup>+</sup>, CH<sub>3-5</sub><sup>+</sup>, C<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>1-6</sub><sup>+</sup>
  - Argon based compounds:
    - Ar, Ar<sup>\*</sup>, Ar<sup>+</sup>, ArH<sup>+</sup> and ArH<sup>+\*</sup>

### • 147 chemical reaction mechanism describing

- the chemistry of pure hydrogen discharge
- the thermal hydrocracking of H<sub>2</sub>/CH<sub>4</sub> mixture
- the chemistry of hydrocarbon ions
- the reactions due to the presence of argon (1)Hassouni et al., Plasma Chem. Plasma. Procest (1998)

<sup>(2)</sup>Hassouni et al., Plasma Sources Sci. Technc (1998)

# A4/A9 models (1)

• Mechanism of Poly-Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) formation<sup>(1)</sup>



#### Linearization



# A4/A9 models (2)

#### Hydrogen Abstraction Carbon Addition (HACA)



<sup>(1)</sup> Wang et Frenklach., Comb. Flame (1997)



# A9/A4 models (3) Nucleation mechanism

• Nucleation of soot particles





# The aerosol dynamic governing equations



$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \widetilde{R}_i + \widetilde{G}_i + \widetilde{W}_i + \widetilde{T}_i$$

- N<sub>i</sub> : density of particles with a size i
- **R** = nucleation rate (estimated from the chemical kinetics model)
- G =<u>coagulation</u> rate (2 particles  $\rightarrow$  larger particles)
- **W** = growth rate (surface growth hetergenous chemistry)
- **T** = particle losses due to transport : diffusion, thermophoresis, drag, etc..



# Radical mechanisms models: A4-model (8)

### ✓ Nucleation of soot particles



# Strong decrease for higher T<sub>g</sub>

K. Hassouni, F. Mohasseb, F. Bénédic, G. Lombardi and A. Gicquel Vol. 78, Issue 6, p. 1127



# Radical mechanisms models: A9-model (13)

### ✓ Nucleation of soot particles



K. Hassouni, F. Mohasseb, F. Bénédic, G. Lombardi and A. Gicquel Vol. 78, Issue 6, p. 1127



## Self consistent modeling of chemistry and aerosol dynamic

Feed-back of soot particles on the plasma chemistry takes place through heterogeneous condenstation reactions which depends on the 2/3 order moment (soot surface per unit volume).



K. Hassouni, F. Mohasseb, F. Bénédic, G. Lombardi and A. Gicquel Vol. 78, Issue 6, p. 1127



### Self consistent modeling of chemistry and aerosol dynamic



Significant nucleation (10<sup>7</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>) in the cold region of the plasma Up to  $H/C = 16 !!!! \rightarrow$  implication on film growth ????



# Negative ions driven molecular and particle growth in plasma :

Trapped in the discharge

→very long residence time

 $\rightarrow$  No interaction with « surfaces »

Very long residence time

- $\rightarrow$  molecular growth
- → particule formation
- → Significant impact of the plasma dynamic

Two examples :

•Carbon particles from DC sputtering of graphite

•Hydrogenated silicon clusters SiH<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>2</sub> RF discharges

#### Particle generation through plasma surface PIIM interaction : the role of negative ions

- DC discharge in Argon
- Inter-electrode distance from 4 to 14 cm
- Bias V<sub>d</sub> ~ 600 V •
- Discharge current = 80 mA
- $N_e = N_i = 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  in the negative glow
- T<sub>e</sub> ~ 3 eV
- Pressure = 0.1 -1mbar (typically 0.6 mbar)
- The only carbon source is the graphite cathode
- Discharge duration < 20 min •



- 1: Graphite cathode 4: Langmuir probe
- 2 : Anode
- 3 : Dust collector
- 5: Thermocouple
- 6 : Optical window

## PIIM Carbon dust in the PIIM reactor

#### SEM micrograph



Acc.V Spot Magn Det WD Exp 200 nm 10.0 kV 3.0 100000x TLD 3.8 0 XL30SFEG D1382

Quasi-spherical particles Around 44 nm in diameter after 3 min of discharge

#### Experimental growth rate



- a) Growth of molecule precursors (neutral and ions) formation of primary particles
- b) Growth rate: 23 nm/min : agglomeration of primary particles
- c) Growth rate: 2,4 nm/min : growth by deposition

PIIM Understand this particle formation :Model of nucleation, growth and transport of dust in Ar DC discharges





Dusts are produced by the sputtering of the graphite cathode:

- Argon ions accelerated in the sheath
- Fast neutrals resulting from charge transfer





 $N_i$  = density of particles with a size *i* 

- R = nucleation rate (estimated from the chemical kinetics model)
- G = coagulation/agglomeration rate (two particles  $\rightarrow$  larger particles)
- W = growth rate (surface growth heterogeneous chemistry)
- T = particle losses due to transport : diffusion, thermophoresis, drag, ...

### PIIM Model of nucleation, growth and transport of dust in DC discharges ignited in Ar (2)



✓ Estimation of discharge main characteristics: *flux and ion energy distribution or ion average energy on the cathode* 

- $\checkmark$  Extraction of  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  et  $C_3$
- $\checkmark$  Formation of  $C_{n=1,nl}$  clusters, where  $n_l$  is arbitrary chosen ( $n_l$ =30 or 60)
- ✓ Nucleation of carbon dusts from clusters: Assumption of 'Largest Molecular Edifice'
- $\checkmark$  Growth, transport and wall losses of dusts
- ✓ Dust charging
- $\checkmark$  Size distribution of dusts
### Molecular growth modelling of carbon clusters and dusts





#### **Dust Transport**

**PIIM** 

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial n}{\partial t} = -\overrightarrow{\nabla} \left( -D.\overrightarrow{\nabla} n + \mu.n.\overrightarrow{z}.\overrightarrow{E} \right) + N - C \\ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\overrightarrow{\nabla} \left( \left( -D.\overrightarrow{\nabla} n + \mu.n.\overrightarrow{z}.\overrightarrow{E} \right) M \right) + N + A \end{cases} \xrightarrow{N = \text{nucleation}} \overset{N = \text{nucleation}}{\underset{C = \text{coagulation}}{N = \text{condensation}}} \xrightarrow{\text{of the average}} \text{diamater } d_p \end{cases}$$



Bernholc & Schweigert models (classical models) (\*\*):

• Growth = one single process ( $C_n + C_x \rightarrow C_{n+x}$ ), but take into account the stability of the  $C_n$  clusters

- $\cdot$  First version of the model took into account neutral clusters
- Molecular growth of clusters
  - Rates computed according to formation enthalpies
  - Clusters have configurational isomers (chains, rings, multi-cycles) distinguished by cyclization entropy (20 kcal/mol/cycle)
  - Extrapolation for unknown values according to cluster periodicities

PIIM

# Formation enthalpies





#### PIIM Molecular growth modelling of neutral carbon clusters and dusts



→Low pressure discharge : p=10-100 Pa

 $\rightarrow$  Diffusion characteristic time =1-10 ms very short as compared to the growth chemistry  $\rightarrow$  no possibility for growth of neutral

→ Need for species with higher residence time :

Negative clusters

And

Trapping electric field configuration

→ Back to some basic DC discharge physics

# PIIM Electric field reversal and molecular growth of negative clusters LIMUP

- Charging of dust particles only effective if electric field is confining !
- Where is the confining electric field ? → Kolobov & Tsendin, Phys. Rev. A
   46 7837, Boeuf &PitchFord, J. Phys. D, (1994)
  - Self-consistent electric field reversal: confinement
  - Three electron populations: energetic, passing, trapped



# PIIM Negative carbon cluster growth reactions



From Y. Achiba et al., J. Elect. Spect. Related Phen. 142, 231 (2005)

• Attachment  $C_n + e^- \rightarrow C_n^-$ 

- Rates computed according to electronic affinities
- Charge exchange  $C_n^- + C_x \rightarrow C_n + C_x^-$ 
  - Electronic affinities

$$T_{i^{-}j} = \alpha R_{ij}^{3} e^{-\xi^{-} \frac{\Delta A_{i} + \Delta H_{j}}{kT}}$$

- <u>Dust agglomeration</u> (sticking)
- · <u>Detachment</u>  $C_n^-$  +  $e^- \rightarrow C_n$  +  $2e^-$

# PIIM carbon particles aerosol dynamic in a DC dicharge



Particle charging is a key point : ==> Enhanced particle charging insures a significant trapping and long residence time



==> Enhanced particle charging prevents coagulation and growth



The only way to have growth ==> charge fluctuation and electron depletion Possible because particle charg ing is a discrete process  $\rightarrow$  Dynamic fluctuation of small particles between positively and negatively charged states

 $\rightarrow$  Coagulation takes place between two particles that has opposite instantanous charges or no charge  $\rightarrow$  involve small particles.

 $\tau_{coag}^{<<}\tau_{fluctuation}^{<<}\tau_{trans}$ 

Transport feels the average charge

$$\frac{d\overline{q}_i}{dt} = -\frac{div(\vec{J}_i - \overline{q}_i div(\vec{F}_i))}{n_i} + \frac{wq_{coag}^+ - \overline{q}_i w_{coag}^+}{n_i} + \frac{wq_{growth}^+ - \overline{q}_i w_{growth}^+}{n_i} + \frac{I^+ - I^-}{n_i}$$

Coagulation feels the fluctuations

Fluctuation 
$$\psi(q, \overline{q}) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{(q-\overline{q})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \sigma = f\left(\frac{T_e}{T}, \frac{U_{el}}{U_{th}}\right)$$

# Negative clusters





Negative clusters have significant densities

PIIM

- → Growth rate is a function of the electric field profile in the discharge
- → An accurate knowledge of the field profile is required





Electric field reversal <=> electron average energy in the NG  $\Delta E \cong \langle \epsilon_e \rangle$ 



#### Dust average charge and diameter





It is indeed possible to explain particle formation through negative ion driven molecular growth

**→** Discharge dynamic (field reversal) and sputtering kinetics are key-points

**Pbs** : we need better description of the growth kinetics : Model → 1 hour for dust formation (instead of few minutes) Take into account the size and charge distributions

# 3- Hydrogenated silicon cluster growth in RF diode discharges

Coupling between plasma dynamic → small ions density and energy

And

molecular dynamic to predict the growth of hydrogenated silicon cluster



Cas où l'hypothèse de localité est valable et que les électrons peuvent être traités dans le cadre du modèle continu



Reaction	Reference	Reaction	Reference
$e^{-} + H_2(v=0) \rightarrow e^{-} + H_2(v=1)$	(R1) [3]	$H_2^+ + H \rightarrow H^+ + H_2$	(R25) [4]
$e^- + H_2(v=0) \rightarrow e^- + H_2(v=2)$	(R2) [3]	$H_2^2 + H_2^+ \rightarrow H_3 + H_3$	(R26) [4]
$e^- + H_2(v=0) \rightarrow e^- + H_2(v=3)$	(R3) [3]	$H + H^{-} \rightarrow e^{-} + 2H$	(R27) [4]
$e^{-} + H_2(v=0) \rightarrow e^{-} + H_2(v=4)$	(R4) [3]	$\rm H + \rm H^- \rightarrow e^- + \rm H_2$	(R28) [4]
$e^- + H_2(v=0) \rightarrow e^- + H_2(v=5)$	(R5) [3]	$\mathrm{H^{+}}$ + $\mathrm{H_{2}}$ $\rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H_{2}^{+}}$ + $\mathrm{H}$	(R29) [4]
$\mathrm{e^{-}} + \mathrm{H_2}  ightarrow \mathrm{2e^{-}} + \mathrm{H_2^+}$	(R6) [3]	${ m H^+} + { m H^-}  ightarrow ar{2}{ m H}$	(R30) [4]
$e^- + H_2 \rightarrow e^- + 2H$	(R7) [3, 5]	$\mathrm{H^+} + 2\mathrm{H}_2  ightarrow \mathrm{H}_3^+ + \mathrm{H}_2$	(R31) [4]
$e^- + H \rightarrow 2e^- + H^+$	(R8) [6]	$\mathrm{H^-} + \mathrm{H_2^+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H_2} + \mathrm{H}$	(R32) [4]
$e^- + H_3^+ \rightarrow 3H$	(R9) [7]	$\mathrm{H^-} + \mathrm{H_3^+}  ightarrow 2\mathrm{H_2}$	(R33) [4]
$e^- + H_3^+ \rightarrow H + H_2$	(R10) [7]	$\mathrm{SiH}_3^- + \mathrm{SiH}_2^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_3 + \mathrm{SiH}_2$	(R34) [8, 9]
$e^- + H_3^+ \rightarrow e^- + H^+ + 2H$	(R11) [6]	$\mathrm{SiH}_3^- + \mathrm{H}_2^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_3 + \mathrm{H}_2$	(R35) [8, 9]
$e^{-}$ +H <sub>2</sub> (v=4) $\rightarrow$ H <sup>-</sup> + H	(R12) [5, 10]	$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow SiH_2^- + H_2$	(R36) [8, 9]
$e^{-}$ +H <sub>2</sub> ( $v$ =5) $\rightarrow$ H <sup>-</sup> + H	(R13) [5, 10]	$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow SiH_3^+ + H + 2e^-$	(R37) [8, 9]
$e^{-}$ +H <sub>2</sub> ( $v=6$ ) $\rightarrow$ H <sup>-</sup> + H	(R14) [5, 10]	$\mathrm{SiH}_3^- + \mathrm{H}_3^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_3 + \mathrm{H}_2 + \mathrm{H}_3$	(R38) [8, 9]
$e^{-}$ +H <sub>2</sub> ( $v=7$ ) $\rightarrow$ H <sup>-</sup> + H	(R15) [5, 10]	${ m SiH_3^-} + { m H^+}  ightarrow { m SiH_3} + { m H}$	(R39) [8, 9]
$e^- +H_2^+ \rightarrow e^- + H^+ + H$	(R16) [6]	$\mathrm{SiH}_3^- + \mathrm{SiH}_3^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_3 + \mathrm{SiH}_3$	(R40) [8, 9]
$e^- + H_2^+ \rightarrow 2H$	(R17) [6]	$\mathrm{SiH}_2^- + \mathrm{SiH}_2^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_2 + \mathrm{SiH}_2$	(R41) [8, 9]
$e^- + H^- \rightarrow 2e^- + H$	(R18) [6]	$\mathrm{SiH}_2^- + \mathrm{H}_2^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2$	(R42) [8, 9]
$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow 2e^- + SiH_2^+ + 2H$	(R19) [8, 9]	$\mathrm{SiH}_2^- + \mathrm{H}_3^+ \rightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2$	(R43) [8, 9]
$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow e^- + SiH_3 + H$	(R20) [8, 9]	${ m SiH}_2^-$ + ${ m H}^+$ $ ightarrow$ ${ m SiH}_2$ + H	(R44) [8, 9]
$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow e^- + SiH_2 + 2H$	(R21) [8, 9]	$\mathrm{SiH}_2^- + \mathrm{SiH}_3^+  ightarrow \mathrm{SiH}_2 + \mathrm{SiH}_3$	(R45) [8, 9]
$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow e^- + SiH_4(v=1)$	(R22) [8, 9]	$H + SiH_4 \rightarrow SiH_3 + H_2$	(R46) [8, 9]
$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow e^- + SiH_4(v=2)$	(R23) [8, 9]	$H_2 + SiH_2 \rightarrow SiH_4$	(R47) [8, 9]
$e^- + SiH_4 \rightarrow SiH_3^- + H$	(R24) [8, 9]		

TABLE 1. Reaction model used to describe the chemistry of small molecular species in  $H_2/SiH_4$  RF discharges.



#### **Dynamic of charged species**

**Dynamique des électrons : Fraction molaire et température** 

5.060E5

4.428E5

3.795E5

3.163E5 2.530E5

1.898E5

1.265E5

6.325E4 0

0.02

0.020

0.015

0.01

0.010

Axial position [m]



# **Typical results**

Feed gas:  $H_2/SiH_4$  mixture (2% SiH<sub>4</sub>, 98%  $H_2$ ) Excitation voltage : 100 – 500 V Pressure : 0.5 – 2 Torr

Time averaged species density



## Growth of Si<sub>n</sub>H<sub>m</sub> clusters in a plasma reactor



Using our results from the plasma modeling, we now can follow the dynamics of the cluster growth as a result of the consecutive capture of plasma radials (SiH<sub>4</sub>, SiH<sub>3</sub>, SiH<sub>2</sub>...).

#### **APPROXIMATIONS IN OUR MOLECULAR DYNAMICS CODE**

At each time step in our MD calculation, we solve the Schrödinger equation ("on the fly"):

$$H_{tot}\Psi = E\Psi$$

$$H_{tot} = \sum_{A} \sum_{a} \frac{Z_{A} Z_{B}}{r_{AB}} - \sum_{A} \sum_{i} \frac{Z_{A}}{r_{Ai}} + \sum_{i} \sum_{a} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \frac{\hbar}{2\pi . m} \sum_{i} \nabla_{i}^{2} - \frac{\hbar}{2\pi . M_{A}} \sum_{A} \nabla_{A}^{2}$$

For our system, it is impossible to solve Schrödinger's equation directly. Therefore, we employed the semi-empirical PM3 method to calculate the electronic structure of our system; e.g., we used three approximations for solving the electronic Schrödinger equation

(reference: J.J.P. Stewart, J. Comput. Chem. <u>10</u> (1989) 209 and 221):

1) The Born-Oppenheimer's approximation :

$$H_{tot} = \sum_{A} \sum_{a} \frac{Z_{A} Z_{B}}{r_{AB}} - \sum_{A} \sum_{i} \frac{Z_{A}}{r_{Ai}} + \sum_{i} \sum_{a} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \frac{\hbar}{2\pi . m} \sum_{i} \nabla_{i}^{2}$$

#### **APPROXIMATIONS IN OUR MOLECULAR DYNAMICS CODE**

2) The wave function can be written as a Slater determinant.

$$\Psi = (n!)^{-1/2} \left| \Psi_p^{\alpha}(1) \Psi_p^{\beta}(2) \dots \Psi_z^{\alpha}(n-1) \Psi_z^{\beta}(n) \right|$$

where  $\Psi_p^{\alpha}(1)$  is a p wave function for an electron with  $\alpha$  spin

$$\Psi_{p}(i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{p}}} \sum_{k} c_{k}^{p} \Phi_{k}(i)$$
3) LCAO approximation:  

$$N_{p} = \sum_{k} \sum_{l} c_{k}^{p} c_{l}^{p} S_{kl}$$

Where  $S_{kl}$  is the integral overlap beetwen k and l. Within the PM3 method, we use *s*, *p<sub>x</sub>*, *p<sub>y</sub>*, *p<sub>z</sub>* as basis set.

# Comparison to ab intio calculations

Validation of our PM3 semiempirical method in comparison to ab initio coupled<br/>cluster CCSD(T) calculations.ccsD(T)/6-311G(2df,2p)





# Experimental and calculated reactive cross sections



Experiments by Armentrout et al.

 $Si^{+}(^{2}P) + H_{2}$ 

Reactive cross section as function of kinetic impact energy

- (a) for SiH<sup>+</sup> production and
- (b) for complete dissociation.



# Growth of Si<sub>n</sub> H<sub>m</sub>clusters in a plasma reactor



Under realistic SiH<sub>4</sub> plasma conditions, we always find amorphous nanostructures

#### Growth of Si<sub>n</sub> H<sub>m</sub>clusters in a plasma reactor

Using relatively high kinetic impact energies of about 2eV, we always find structures that contain very little hydrogen and that are very similar to those structures predicted by K. Raghavachari for small Si<sub>n</sub> clusters via abinitio calculations (J. Chem. Phys. 84, 5672 [1986]).



 $E_{kin} \sim 2eV$ 

# Growth of Si<sub>n</sub> H<sub>m</sub>clusters in a plasma reactor

Role of atomic H for the crystallization of an amorphous  $\rm Si_{24}H_{25}$  nanoparticle



BEFORE ...

**AFTER** ....

... the collision with 10 thermal H atoms

#### Atomic-scale simulation of nanocluster growth, crystallization, & deposition Ning NING and Holger VACH



#### Conclusion

Complex processes  $\rightarrow$  governing step  $\rightarrow$  key process H-atom often involved



### Plasma/surface interaction : Ion

Gas phase generated positive ions :

Birth : Mainly in the bulk :

Electron-impact ionisation on the parent molecules Energy threshold : >10 eV

#### **Transport** :

ambipolar diffusion in the bulk Acceleration in the sheath = f(density)  $\rightarrow \lambda_{ion} >> \lambda_{sh} \rightarrow$  directional fluxes with very high drift energy  $\rightarrow$  implantation and sputtering

 $A_{ion} << \lambda_{sh} \rightarrow$  collision with neutral  $\rightarrow$  energy sharing with neutral  $\rightarrow$  fast neutral moderatly accelerated ions

#### Fate on the surface

→ $\lambda_{ion}$ >>  $\lambda_{sh}$  → implantation and sputtering → $\Lambda_{ion}$ <<  $\lambda_{sh}$  → sputtering, Auger emission, chemistry



### Plasma/surface interaction : Ions





## Plasma/surface interaction : Ions





## Plasma/surface interaction : ions – sputtering

Magnetron or DC Sputtering

→Extract atoms from a massive target to deposit a thin film on a substrate



- Ion sputtering
- Mainly Neutral driven deposition



 Metalization in Microelectronic Al deposition into trenches →
 Detrimental Void formation Hamaguchi and S. M. Rossnagel, J.
 Vac. Sci. Technol. B 13, 183 (1995).



### Plasma/surface interaction : ions – sputtering



#### IPVD

Ion sputtering

Then

Secondary ionization

 $\rightarrow$  ion + atom deposition



Microelectronic :

« Etching » Define the microscopic feature of electronic circuits

Anisotropic etching is needed



Etched area



## Plasma/surface interaction : Positive ions (+ neutral)

#### Isotropic chemical etching/deposition



+

Ion sputtering

lon

SF<sub>6</sub> based Ion assisted etching Si + xF  $\rightarrow$  SiF<sub>x</sub>|<sub>s</sub>

```
\operatorname{SiF}_{x}|_{s} \rightarrow \operatorname{SiF}_{y}|_{g}
```





### Plasma/surface interaction : Positive ions (neutral)



HCl/O<sub>2</sub>/BCl<sub>3</sub> based etching

 $Si + 4Cl \rightarrow SiCl_4|_g$  (Etching)

Si + xO + yF  $\rightarrow$  SiF<sub>x</sub>O<sub>y</sub> (etching(x) vs depsosition(y))

 $Si + 2O \rightarrow SiO_2|_s$  (deposition)

Etching vs deposition + anisotropy =  $f(recipies, V_{bias}, T_s)$ 



Ar+ + e- + SI (OH)H2(s) <-->SIH(s) + H2O + Ar








## <u>Adsorption-Recombination assisted growth of diamond</u> $C_2H_2$ as growth species

